



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,777	01/21/2004	Arturo A. Rodriguez	A-8149	3529
5642 7590 06/11/2009 SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 5030 SUGARLOAF PARKWAY LAWRENCEVILLE, GA 30044				
EXAMINER BELOUSOV, ANDREY				
ART UNIT 2174		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 06/11/2009		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/761,777

Applicant(s)

RODRIGUEZ ET AL.

Examiner

ANDREY BELOUSOV

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-41, 43-48 and 53-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-41, 43-48 and 53-58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the filing of 3/19/2009. Claims 1-5, 7-41, 43-48 and 53-58 are pending and have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 14-17, 20-24, 26-28, 30-37, 39-41, 43-48 and 53-56, 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bruck (6,008,836.)

Claim 1, 26: Bruck discloses a method for determining the characteristics of a display device (Fig. 1B: 12) coupled to a network client device (Fig. 1B: 10) capable of receiving television (TV) signals, the network client device having video (Fig. 1B: 6) and audio output (Fig. 1C: 25) capabilities, said method comprising the steps of:

- a. driving a display device with a first video output signal (Fig. 6B, some mid-range level of Brightness) formatted according to a first video interface specification (Fig. 1C: 26);
- b. responsive to driving the display device, explicitly querying a user (Fig. 6B), the query configured to solicit a response (Fig. 6B, adjustment of brightness) from the user that correspond to whether the user can presently observe information

(Fig. 6B: visibility of "A") rendered on the display device, the information included in the first video output signal;

- c. determining a characteristic (brightness, Fig. 6B) of the display device responsive to determining that the user can presently observe the information (Fig. 6B: "barely visible" A), the determination based on user input corresponding to the solicited response;
- d. driving the display device with a second video output signal (an adjusted brightness setting video output signal, Fig. 6B) and repeating the explicit query to the user responsive to determining that the user cannot presently observe the information (the brightness query is visible regardless of the visibility of A pattern, Fig. 6B.)

Claim 2, 27: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26 wherein the characteristic includes at least one of a type of display device, picture size, frame rate, scan format, color format, colorimetry, picture width-to-height aspect ratio, width-to-height aspect ratio of pixels, and capability and manner of receiving ancillary data (Fig. 6B.)

Claim 3, 28: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26, wherein the display device includes a television set or a display monitor (Fig. 1B.)

Claim 5, 30: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26, wherein the step of driving a display device with a first video output signal includes the step of transmitting graphics data, video data, or a combination of both graphics and video data (Fig. 1B.)

Claim 31: Bruck discloses the system of claim 26, wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to receive a TV signal from a network (Fig. 1B, 29b), processing the TV signal (Fig. 1C: 20), and effect the transmittal of a video output signal according to the first video interface specification (Fig. 1C: 26), and according to at least one parameter of the TV signal.

Claim 7, 32: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 53 and 26, wherein the transmitted video output signal is delivered through a video port (Fig. 1C: 12, 26) in the network client device (Fig. 1C: 10), the video port preset according to the first video interface specification and according to at least one parameter of the TV signal.

Claim 8, 33: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26, wherein the explicit query is in the form of visual instructions to the user (Fig. 6B.)

Claim 9, 34: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26, wherein the step of determining includes the step of determining what are optimal signal parameters to send to the display device (Fig. 6B: optimal brightness.)

Claim 10, 35: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26, wherein the step of determining includes the step of determining at least one of how to drive the display device such that a legible, distorted picture is presented and what are optimal signal parameters to send to the display device (Fig. 6B: optimal brightness.)

Claim 11, 36: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26, wherein the step of driving the display device with the second video output signal further includes the step of driving the display device according to a second video format different than a first video format of the first video output signal (Fig. 6B: at a different brightness level), wherein the step of driving the display device according to the second video format is a result of an automatic cycling to the second video output signal either after a defined threshold period of time of receiving no user input or as a result of the user input (Fig. 6B: 94.)

Claim 12, 37: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 11 and 36, wherein the step of driving the display device according to the second video format includes the step of driving the display device through an output port used to drive the display device according to the first video format (Fig. 1C: 26, 12.)

Claim 14: Bruck discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the display device is physically connected to a network client device (Fig. 1C: 26, 12.)

Claim 15: Bruck discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the display device is in wireless communication with a network client device (Fig. 1C: 11, 24.)

Claim 39: Bruck discloses the system of claim 26, wherein the processor is further configured with the logic to effect communication with the display device through a wireless connection or a physical connection (Fig. 1C: 26, 12, 11, 24.)

Claim 16: Bruck discloses the method of claim 1, further including the step of receiving a request for discovery of the characteristic (Fig. 3: 63, 4A, 71.)

Claim 17: Bruck discloses the method of claim 16, wherein the step of receiving the request includes the step of receiving a signal corresponding to the activation of a button on a remote control device (Fig. 1B: 11.)

Claim 19: Bruck discloses the method of claim 18, wherein the step of receiving the request includes the step of receiving a signal corresponding to the activation of a button on a remote control device (Fig. 1B: 9, 11.)

Claim 40: Bruck discloses the system of claim 26, further including a remote control device configured with a button that, responsive to activation of the button, cooperates with the logic to initiate discovery of characteristics of the device (Fig. 1B: 9, 11.)

Claim 20: Bruck discloses the method of claim 1, further including the step of driving the display device according to the determined characteristic (e.g. certain brightness, etc., Fig. 6B) or a plurality of determined characteristics to present content on a display screen of the display device (Fig. 1B: 12), wherein the step of driving the display device is further according to at least one parameter of the TV signal (aspect ratio, video size, etc.)

Claim 41: Bruck discloses the system of claim 26, further including a remote control device configured with a button that, responsive to activation of the button, cooperates with the logic to cycle through at least one of a plurality of formats and a plurality of video formats (Fig. 1B: 11; Fig. 10A: 100-114, B.)

Claim 21, 43: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 20 and 26, further including the step of receiving stored pictures from a storage device to process and present the corresponding content on the display screen of the display device (Fig. 6B: 92.)

Claim 22, 44: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 21 and 43, wherein the pictures include at least one of distorted objects, non-distorted objects, distorted images, non-distorted images, visual information, and a graphical characteristic to provide an indication of the characteristic of the display device (Fig. 6B: 92).

Claim 45: Bruck discloses the system of claim 43, wherein the processor is further configured with the logic, and in cooperation with the media engine and the output system, to distort at least one of objects and video images (Fig. 6B: 92, 94: changing brightness) and leave undistorted at least one of objects and video images (Fig. 6B: 92, 94, without changing brightness.)

Claim 23, 46: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 20 and 43, further including the step of determining how a user has configured the display device (Fig. 6B) to display a TV signal of a defined aspect ratio on the display device, the display device having at least one of the same physical aspect ratio and a different aspect ratio as the defined aspect ratio of the TV signal (the examiner notes that it is inherent and a truism, that the TV signal has a defined aspect ratio and that is different or same as the physical aspect ratio of the display device.)

Claim 24, 47: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 20 and 43, further including soliciting additional user input based on the content displayed on the display screen, the additional user input corresponding to user preferences pertaining to visual appearance of the displayed content (Fig. 6B, it is inherent that the user would modify the settings according to his or her preferences.)

Claim 48: Bruck discloses the system of claim 26, wherein the system is embodied in a network client device in communication with the display device (Fig. 1C.)

Claim 53, 54: Bruck discloses the method of claim 1, further including the steps of:

- a. mapping the first video interface specification (5:18-21, S-video, or composite) and corresponding port to at least one parameter of a video sequence or picture associated with the first video output signal (video encoding / converting, 5:50-52);
- b. receiving a TV signal at a network client device (Fig. 1B: 29b);
- c. processing the TV signal by the network client device according to the determined characteristic (Fig. 1B: 10; Fig. 1C: 20); and
- d. transmitting a video output signal according to the first video interface specification and according to at least one parameter of the TV signal to the display device that corresponds to the at least one parameter of the video sequence or picture associated with the first video output signal (Fig. 1C: 26, 12.)

Claim 55: Bruck discloses a method, comprising:

- a. outputting a first television signal to a display device, the first television signal comprising one or more pictures (Fig. 6B: 92), wherein at least one picture has a parameter configured with a first value (Fig. 6B, brightness value);
- b. outputting a second television signal to the display device (Fig. 6B: adjusted brightness), the second television signal comprising one or more pictures, at

- least one picture having the parameter configured with a second value (Fig. 6B: 92, with adjusted brightness), the difference in parameter values resulting in a difference in visual appearance (Fig. 6B: brighter / darker) of the at least one picture corresponding to each of the first and second television signals; and
- c. soliciting one or more user inputs from a user, the solicitation intended to determine a user preference for the at least one picture corresponding to the first television signal or the second television signal (Fig. 6B: "Brightness ... 1. "); and
- d. determining a display device characteristic based on the solicited user inputs (Fig. 6B.)

Claim 56: Bruck discloses the method of claim 55, wherein outputting includes outputting the first and second television signals from the same port, the same port compliant to a first video interface specification (Fig. 1C; 5:18-21, S-video, or composite.)

Claim 58: Bruck discloses the method of claim 55, further comprising storing the display device characteristic in memory (Fig. 1C: 55.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruck in view of Krane (5,799,063.)

Claim 4, 29: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 26. However, Bruck does not explicitly disclose wherein the explicit query is in the form of audible voice instructions to the user presented contemporaneously with driving the display device with the first video output signal. Kramer teaches a system and a method wherein voice instructions transmitted to the user (2:31-41.) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize voice instructions as taught in Kramer, to the user in the method and system of Bruck. One would have been motivated to provide voice instructions over the audio capable system disclosed in Bruck, so as to accommodate persons with poor eyesight (Krane 2:15-18.)

5. Claims 13, 18 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruck in view of Elswick et al. (6,791,620) and in further view of May (5,544,354.)

Claim 13, 18, 38: Bruck discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 36. However, Bruck does not explicitly disclose wherein the step of driving the display device with the second video output signal includes the step of driving the display device with the second video output signal formatted according to a second video interface specification

different than the first video interface specification, the second video output signal driven through an output port different than the output port used to drive the display device with the first video output signal.

Elswick discloses a similar system, wherein the step of driving the display device with the second video output signal includes the step of driving the display device with the second video output signal formatted according to a second video interface specification different than the first video interface specification, the second video output signal driven through an output port different than the output port used to drive the display device with the first video output signal (4:15-23; 3:9-20.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bruck with Elswick. One would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Bruck with Elswick in such a manner so as to provide the user with a significant amount of flexibility when configuring a video system (Elswick, 3:25-27.)

Bruck does not explicitly disclose wherein the step of driving the display device with the second video output signal is a result of an automatic cycling to the second video output signal. May discloses a similar system, with automatic cycling between video output signals (15:45-67.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bruck and Elswick with May. One would have been motivated to combine the teaching of May's automatic cycling the

teachings of Bruck and Elswick, so as to bring to the attention of passive users other possible selections (May, 15:57-67.)

6. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruck in view of Elswick et al. (6,791,620.)

Claim 57: Bruck discloses the method of claim 55. However, Bruck does not explicitly disclose wherein outputting includes outputting the first and second television signals from a first port and a second port, respectively, the first port compliant to a first video interface specification and the second port compliant to a second video interface specification, the first video interface specification different than the second video interface specification.

Elswick discloses a similar system, wherein outputting includes outputting the first and second television signals from a first port and a second port, respectively, the first port compliant to a first video interface specification and the second port compliant to a second video interface specification, the first video interface specification different than the second video interface specification (4:15-23; 3:9-20.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Bruck with Elswick. One would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Bruck with Elswick in such a manner so as to provide the user with a significant amount of flexibility when configuring a video system (Elswick, 3:25-27.)

7. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ATI in view of Rzeszewski et al. (5,512,958.)

Claim 25: ATI discloses a method for determining the characteristics of a display device coupled to a network client device, said method comprising the steps of:

- a. outputting a video signal including pictures (page 4) for each part of the cycle, wherein the pictures include at least one of graphics data and video data (page 4);
- b. processing the pictures for each video format for output to a display device (page 4, as Multimedia Center is a software suite for viewing TV on a computer, the availability of characteristics for modification on page 8, reveal that such changes would be inherently processed before display through the ATI video cards (part of the computer) on the monitor);
- c. setting parameters of a video output port according to each video format (page 8-10);
- d. soliciting a user response for each video format, wherein the step of soliciting includes the step of presenting at least one of visible instructions and audible instructions to the user (page 8-10);
- e. determining at least one characteristic of the display device based on the user response, wherein the characteristic includes at least one of type of device, picture size, frame rate, scan format, color format, colorimetry, picture width-to-

height aspect ratio, width-to-height aspect ratio of pixels, capability of providing ancillary data, manner of providing the ancillary data (page 8-10); and

- f. driving the display device according to at least one parameter (aspect ratio, video size, etc., page 8) of a received TV signal processed by the network client device according to the determined characteristic to present images on a display screen (page 4, as Multimedia Center is a software suite for viewing TV on a computer, the availability of characteristics for modification on page 8, reveal that such changes would be inherently processed before display through the ATI video cards (part of the computer) on the monitor.)

However, ATI does not explicitly disclose:

- g. cycling through a plurality of video formats, each part of the cycle including a predetermined time duration

Rzeszewski discloses a similar system for television display modification allowing the user to cycle through a plurality of video formats, each part of the cycle including a predetermined time duration (5:64-67.) Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to cycle through a plurality of video formats, each part of the cycle including a predetermined time duration, as taught in Rzeszewski, to the disclosure of ATI. One would have been motivated to cycle through a plurality of video formats, each part of the cycle including a predetermined time duration so as to accommodate a user who may not be knowledgeable about the particular format or port necessary to allow best display (5:58-67) without having to particularly point out a particular port or video format.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-24, 26-41, and 43-48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 25 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the combination of ATI in view of Rzeszewski fail to disclose, teach, or suggest the "cycling through plural video formats." The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In combining of ATI in view of Rzeszewski, the Examiner takes support for video formats from ATI, whereas the Rzeszewski disclosure is used for the cycling portion.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Belousov whose telephone number is (571) 270-1695. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (alternate Fri off) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Chow can be reached on (571) 272-7767. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3800.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AB

/Steven P Sax/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174

6/2/2009